

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/305,019 05/04/99 ROTH W 10151-1 **EXAMINER** 023455 IM22/1010 EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY NGUYEN, T P 0 BOX 2149 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BAYTOWN TX 77522-2149 1764 10 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/10/00



Advisory Action

Application No. 09/305,019

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Tam Nguyen

Group Art Unit

Roth et al.

1764



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [ch	eck only a) or b)]		
a) expires months	from the mailing date of the final rejection	1.	
 b) X expires either three months is later. In no event, however rejection. 	rom the mailing date of the final rejection, er, will the statutory period for the respons	or on the mailing date of this Advisory A se expire later than six months from the c	Action, whichever date of the final
date on which the response, the petit determining the period of extension a	ed by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136 ion, and the fee have been filed is the dat nd the corresponding amount of the fee. ally set shortened statutory period for resp	e of the response and also the date for the	
Appellant's Brief is due two mor period for response set forth about	oths from the date of the Notice of A ove, whichever is later). See 37 CFI	Appeal filed on	(or within any
Applicant's response to the final report is NOT deemed to place the applicant is not	ection, filed on <u>Sep 21, 2000</u> plication in condition for allowance:	has been considered with the follo	wing effect,
☐ The proposed amendment(s):			
	a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal E	Brief.	
will not be entered because:			
\square they raise new issues tha	t would require further consideration	n and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of ne	w matter. (See note below).		
issues for appeal.	lace the application in better form fo		
they present additional cl	aims without cancelling a correspond	ding number of finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:			
Applicant's response has over	ercome the following rejection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended cla separate, timely filed amendmen	ims nt cancelling the non-allowable claim	would be allowable if su	ubmitted in a
The affidavit, exhibit or request for allowance because: <u>See attachment.</u>	for reconsideration has been conside	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT the Examiner in the final rejection	be considered because it is not dire n.	cted SOLELY to issues which were	newly raised by
	rus of the claims is as follows (see a		
Claims objected to:			
Claims rejected: 4-10			
	n filed on		
X Note the attached Information D	isclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, F	Paper No(s) 8 .	
☐ Other			
			O. Criffin D. Griffin Examiner

Application/Control Number: 09/305,019

Art Unit: 1764

Response to Arguments

Page 2

The argument that this is no motivation to combine the '608 reference and the Cheng reference is noted. However, the argument is not persuasive because the argument was responded under the "response to arguments" section in paper No. 7.

The argument that the Cheng is silent as to the phase conditions of the transalkylation step is noted. However, the argument is not persuasive because Cheng discloses that the whole system is operated under either liquid phase or vapor phase.

For other arguments please see office action No. 7.